

Application/Control Number: 09/910,093
Art Unit: 2654

Docket No.: 2001-0226

Amendments to the Claims:

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims:

1. (Currently Amended) A method of removing empty string terms from an automaton A having a set plurality of states "p", a set plurality of states "q", and a set plurality of outgoing transitions from the set plurality of states "p", E[p], the method comprising:

inputting a plurality of electrical signals representing the automaton A,
the automaton A further representing a plurality of hypotheses with associated
weights;

computing an ϵ -closure for each state of the plurality of states "p" of the automaton A;

producing a plurality of electrical signals representing an improved
automaton A, the producing comprising modifying E[p] by:

removing each transition of the plurality of transitions labeled with an empty string; and

adding to the plurality of outgoing transitions, E[p], a non-empty-string transition, wherein each state of the plurality of states "q" is left with its weights pre-multiplied by an ϵ -distance from a corresponding one of the plurality of states state "p" to a respective one of the plurality of states state "q" in the automaton A.

Application/Control Number: 09/910,093
Art Unit: 2654

Docket No.: 2001-0226

2. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 1, wherein the producing of the plurality of electrical signals representing the improved automaton A further comprising comprises:

removing inaccessible ones of the plurality of the states "p" and "q" using a depth-first search of the automaton A.

3. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 1, wherein adding to the plurality of outgoing transitions, E[p], a non-empty-string transitions transition further comprises leaving each of the plurality of states "q" with weights (d[p,q] \otimes p[q]) to E[p].

4. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 1, wherein ~~the step of the~~ computing of the ϵ -closure for each input state of the plurality of states "p" of an the input automaton A further comprises:

removing all transitions not labeled with an empty string from the automaton A to produce an automaton A_ϵ ;

decomposing the automaton A_ϵ into its strongly connected components;

and

computing all-pairs shortest distances in each ~~component~~ of the strongly connected components visited in reverse topological order.

Application/Control Number: 09/910,093
 Art Unit: 2654

Docket No.: 2001-0226

5. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 1, wherein the step of computing of the ϵ -closure for each input-state of the plurality of states "p" of an the input automaton A further comprises:

removing all transitions not labeled with an empty string from the automaton A to produce an automaton A_ϵ ;

decomposing A_ϵ into its strongly connected components;

performing a single-source shortest-distance algorithm according to the following pseudo code:

```

for each  $p \in Q$ 
do  $d[p] \leftarrow r[p] \leftarrow \bar{0}$ 
 $d[s] \leftarrow r[s] \leftarrow \bar{1}$ 
 $S \leftarrow \{s\}$ 
while  $S \neq \emptyset$ 
do  $q \leftarrow \text{head}[S]$ 
DEQUEUE( $S$ )
 $r \leftarrow r[q]$ 
 $r[q] \leftarrow \bar{0}$ 
for each  $e \in E[q]$ 
do if  $d[n[e]] \neq d[n[e]] \oplus (r \otimes w[e])$ 
then  $d[n[e]] \leftarrow d[n[e]] \oplus (r \otimes w[e])$ 
 $r[n[e]] \leftarrow r[n[e]] \oplus (r \otimes w[e])$ 
if  $n[e] \notin S$ 

```

Application/Control Number: 09/910,093
Art Unit: 2654

Docket No.: 2001-0226

then ENQUEUE ($S, n[e]$)

$d[s] \leftarrow \bar{I}_s$

6. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 1, wherein the ~~step of the~~ computing of the ϵ -closure for each of the plurality of states state "p" further comprises computing each of the ϵ -closure ϵ -closures according to the following equation:

$$C[p] = \{(q, w) : q \in \epsilon[p], d[p, q] = w \in K - \{\bar{O}\}\}.$$

7. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 6, ~~wherein the step of~~ ~~modifying outgoing transitions of each state "p"~~ further comprises modifying the outgoing transitions of each of the plurality of states state p according to the following procedure:

- (1) for each $p \in Q$
- (2) do $E[p] \leftarrow \{e \in E[p] : i[e] \neq \epsilon\}$
- (3) for each $(q, w) \in C[p]$
- (4) do $E[p] \leftarrow E[p] \cup \{(p, a, w \otimes w', r) : (q, a, w', r) \in E[q], a \neq \epsilon\}$
- (5) if $q \in F$
- (6) then if $p \notin F$
- (7) then $F \leftarrow F \cup \{p\}$

Application/Control Number: 09/910,093
Art Unit: 2654

Docket No.: 2001-0226

$$(8) \quad \rho[p] \leftarrow p[p] \oplus (\omega \oplus \rho[q]).$$

8. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 7, wherein a state is a final state if ~~some~~ at least one of the plurality of states state “q” within a set of states reachable from one of the plurality of states “p” via a path labeled with an empty string is final and the final weight is then: $\rho[p] = \bigoplus_{q \in \epsilon(p)^*} (d[p, q] \otimes \rho[q])$

9. (Original) The method of claim 8, further comprising:

performing a depth-first search of the automaton A after removing the empty strings.

10. (Currently Amended) A method of producing an equivalent weighted automaton “B” with no ϵ -transitions for any input weighted automaton “A” having at least one ϵ -transition, the automaton “A” having a ~~set~~ plurality of states “p”, and a ~~set~~ plurality of states “q”, the method comprising:

inputting a plurality of electrical signals representing the input weighted automaton A, the weighted automaton A further representing a plurality of hypotheses with associated weights;

computing an ϵ -closure for each ~~state~~ of the plurality of states “p” of the input weighted automaton “A”; and

producing a plurality of electrical signals representing the automaton “B” equivalent to automaton A without the ϵ -transitions, the producing comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/910,093
 Art Unit: 2654

Docket No.: 2001-0226

modifying outgoing transitions of each state of the plurality of states "p"
 by:

removing each transition labeled with an empty string; and
 adding to ~~each transition leaving state "p"~~ a plurality of outgoing transitions from the plurality of states "p" a non-empty-string transition, wherein each state of the plurality of states "q" is left with its weights pre-multiplied by an ϵ -distance from a corresponding one of the plurality of states state "p" to a respective one of the plurality of states "q" in the automaton "A" to produce the automaton "B" ~~equivalent to automaton A without the ϵ -transitions~~, the automaton "B" representing an improved version of the plurality of hypotheses.

11. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 10, further comprising:
 removing inaccessible states of the automaton "A" using a depth-first search of the automaton "A".

12. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 11, wherein adding to the plurality of outgoing transitions from the plurality of states "p" a non-empty-string ~~transitions~~ transition further comprises leaving each of the plurality of states state "q" with weights $(d[p, q] \otimes \rho[q])$ to the transitions leaving corresponding ones of the plurality of states "p".

Application/Control Number: 09/910,093
Art Unit: 2654

Docket No.: 2001-0226

13. (Currently Amended) A The method of claim 10, wherein the ~~step of~~ computing ~~of an~~ the ϵ -closure for each ~~input state~~ of the plurality of states "p" of ~~an~~ the input automaton "A" further comprises:

removing all non- ϵ -transitions to produce an automaton A_ϵ ;

decomposing the automaton A_ϵ into its strongly connected components;

and

computing all-pairs shortest distances in each of the strongly connected components ~~component~~ visited in reverse topological order.

14. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 10, wherein the ~~step of~~ computing of the ϵ -closure for each ~~state~~ of the plurality of states "p" further comprises computing each of the ϵ -closures according to the following equation:

$$C[p] = \{(q, w) : q \in \epsilon[p], d[p, q] = w \in K - \{\bar{O}\}\}.$$

15. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 14, wherein the ~~step of~~ modifying the outgoing transitions of each of the plurality of states ~~state~~ "p" further comprises modifying the outgoing transitions of each of the plurality of states ~~state~~ p according to the following procedure:

- (1) for each $p \in Q$
- (2) do $E[p] \leftarrow \{e \in E[p] : i[e] \neq \epsilon\}$
- (3) for each $(q, w) \in C[p]$

Application/Control Number: 09/910,093
Art Unit: 2654

Docket No.: 2001-0226

- (4) do $E[p] \leftarrow E[p] \cup \{(p, a, w \otimes w', r) : (q, a, w', r) \in E[q], a \neq \varepsilon\}$
- (5) if $q \in F$
- (6) then if $p \notin F$
- (7) then $F \leftarrow F \cup \{p\}$
- (8) $\rho[p] \leftarrow p[p] \oplus (\omega \oplus \rho[q])$.

16. (Currently Amended) A method of producing an automaton B from an automaton A, the automaton B having no empty string transitions, the method comprising:

inputting a plurality of electrical signals representing the automaton A,
the automaton A further representing a plurality of hypotheses with associated
weights;

computing for each state p in the automaton A its ε -closure $C[p]$

according to the following: $C[p] = \{(q, w) : q \in \varepsilon[p], d[p, q] = w \in K - \{\emptyset\}\}$, where $\varepsilon[p]$ represents states labeled with an empty string;

removing each transition labeled with an empty string; and

producing a plurality of electrical signals representing the automaton B,
the automaton B being equivalent to the automaton A without ε -transitions, the
producing comprising:

adding to each transition leaving the states state "p" a non-empty-string transition, wherein each state "q" in the automaton A is left with its weights pre-multiplied by an ε -distance from one of the states state "p" to

Application/Control Number: 09/910,093
Art Unit: 2654

Docket No.: 2001-0226

a respective one of the states “q” in the automaton “A” to produce the automaton “B” equivalent to automaton A without the ϵ -transitions.

17. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 16, wherein the adding the non-empty-string transition to each of the transitions leaving the states “p” strings to $E[p]$ is performed according to the following code:

- (1) for each $p \in Q$
- (2) do $E[p] \leftarrow \{e \in E[p] : i[e] \neq \epsilon\}$
- (3) for each $(q, w) \in C[p]$
- (4) do $E[p] \leftarrow E[p] \cup \{(p, a, w \otimes w', r) : (q, a, w', r) \in E[q], a \neq \epsilon\}$
- (5) if $q \in F$
- (6) then if $p \notin F$
- (7) then $F \leftarrow F \cup \{p\}$
- (8) $\rho[p] \leftarrow p[p] \oplus (\omega \oplus \rho[q])$, where $E[p]$ is plurality of outgoing transitions from the states “p”.

18. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 10, further comprising modifying a plurality of outgoing transitions from the states “p”, $E[p]$, according to the following procedure:

- (1) for each $p \in Q$
- (2) do $E[p] \leftarrow \{e \in E[p] : i[e] \neq \epsilon\}$

Application/Control Number: 09/910,093
Art Unit: 2654

Docket No.: 2001-0226

- (3) for each $(q, w) \in C[p]$
- (4) do $E[p] \leftarrow E[p] \cup \{(p, a, w \otimes w', r) : (q, a, w', r) \in E[q], a \neq \varepsilon\}$
- (5) if $q \in F$
- (6) then if $p \notin F$
- (7) then $F \leftarrow F \cup \{p\}$
- (8) $\rho[p] \leftarrow p[p] \oplus (\omega \oplus \rho[q])$.

19. (Currently Amended) A method of producing an equivalent weighted automaton "B" with no ε -transitions for any input weighted automaton "A" having a set of transitions E, wherein each transition "e" in the set of transitions has an input label $i[e]$, at least one transition being an ε -transition, a set of states P, each state in the set of states P is denoted as "p", and a set of states Q, each state in the set of states Q denoted as "q", a weight $w[e]$ for each transition "e", and $E[p]$ the transitions leaving each state "p" and $E[q]$ being the transitions leaving state "q", an ε -closure for a state being defined as $C[p]$, and where $\varepsilon[p]$ represents a set of states reachable from state "p" via a path labeled with an ε -transition, the method comprising:

inputting a plurality of electrical signals representing the weighted automaton A, the weighted automaton A further representing a plurality of hypotheses with associated weights;

computing an ε -closure $C[p]$ for each state "p" of the input weighted automaton "A";

Application/Control Number: 09/910,093
Art Unit: 2654

Docket No.: 2001-0226

removing each ϵ -transition of the weighted automaton A to produce an automaton A_ϵ ; and

producing a plurality of electrical signals representing the automaton B,
the automaton B being equivalent to the automaton A without ϵ -transitions, the
producing further comprising:

adding to $E[p]$ non-empty-string transitions leaving each state "q" from the set of states reachable from "p" via a path labeled with an ϵ -~~transitions~~ ϵ -transition, wherein each state "q" is left with its weights pre-multiplied by an ϵ -distance from state "p" to "q" in the automaton "A" to produce the automaton "B" ~~equivalent to automaton A without ϵ -transitions.~~

20. (Currently Amended) A method of producing an equivalent weighted automaton "B" with no ϵ -transitions for any input weighted automaton "A" having a set of transitions "e", at least one of which is an ϵ -transition, a set of states "p", and a set of states "q", the method comprising:

inputting a plurality of electrical signals representing the weighted
automaton A, the weighted automaton A further representing a plurality of
hypotheses with associated weights; and

producing a plurality of electrical signals representing the automaton B
with no ϵ -transitions, the producing comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/910,093
Art Unit: 2654

Docket No.: 2001-0226

computing an ϵ -closure $C[p]$ for each state "p" of the input weighted automaton "A";

for each of the states state "p", determining ~~the~~ non- ϵ -transitions from state the states "p";

for each of the states state "q" having a weight "w" within the computed ϵ -closure $C[p]$:

adding to outgoing transitions from the states "p", $E[p]$, the non- ϵ -transitions leaving each of the states state "q"; and

if state one of the states "q" is part of a set of final states F, and if a corresponding one of the states state "p" is not part of the set of final states F:

defining the corresponding one of the states state "p" as included within the set of final states "F" and ~~the~~ a final weight $\rho[p]$ as pre- \otimes -multiplied by w, the ϵ -distance from state "p" to state "q" in the automaton A to produce the automaton B.

21. (Currently Amended) A method of removing string terms "a" from an automaton A having a set of states "p", a set of states "q", and a set of outgoing transitions from the set of states "p", $E[p]$, the method comprising:

inputting a plurality of electrical signals representing the automaton A,
the automaton A further representing a plurality of hypotheses with associated weights;

Application/Control Number: 09/910,093
Art Unit: 2654

Docket No.: 2001-0226

producing a plurality of electrical signals representing an automaton B
from the automaton A, the producing comprising:

computing an a-closure for each state “p” of the automaton A; and
modifying E[p] by:

removing each transition labeled with a string term “a”; and

adding to E[p] a non-“a”-string transition, wherein each state
“q” is left with its weights pre- \otimes -multiplied by an a-distance from
state “p” to a state “q” in the automaton A to produce the
automaton B.

22. (Original) The method of claim 21, further comprising:

removing inaccessible states using a depth-first search of the automaton
A.

23. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 21, wherein adding to E[p] a
non-“a”-string ~~transitions~~ transition further comprises leaving ~~q~~ the state “q”
with weights $(d[p,q] \otimes \rho[q])$ to E[p].

24. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 21, wherein the ~~step of~~
computing of an a-closure for each ~~input~~ state “p” of an input automaton A
further comprises:

Application/Control Number: 09/910,093
 Art Unit: 2654

Docket No.: 2001-0226

removing all transitions not labeled with a string "a" from automaton A to produce an automaton A_a ;
 decomposing A_a into its strongly connected components; and
 computing all-pairs shortest distances in each of the strongly connected components ~~component~~ visited in reverse topological order.

25. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 21, wherein the ~~step of~~ computing of an a-closure for each ~~input~~ state "p" of an input automaton A further comprises:

decomposing A_a into its strongly connected components;
 performing a single-source shortest-distance algorithm according to the following pseudo code:

```

for each  $p \in Q$ 
do  $d[p] \leftarrow r[p] \leftarrow \bar{O}$ 
 $d[s] \leftarrow r[s] \leftarrow \bar{I}$ 
 $S \leftarrow \{s\}$ 
while  $S \neq \emptyset$ 
do  $q \leftarrow \text{head}[S]$ 
  DEQUEUE(S)
   $r \leftarrow r[q]$ 
   $r[q] \leftarrow \bar{O}$ 
  for each  $e \in E[q]$ 

```

Application/Control Number: 09/910,093
Art Unit: 2654

Docket No.: 2001-0226

do if $d[n[e]] \neq d[n[e]] \oplus (r \otimes w[e])$

then $d[n[e]] \leftarrow d[n[e]] \oplus (r \otimes w[e])$

$r[n[e]] \leftarrow r[n[e]] \oplus (r \otimes w[e])$

if $n[e] \notin S$

then ENQUEUE ($S, n[e]$)

$d[s] \leftarrow \bar{1}_i$

26. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 21, wherein the ~~step of~~ computing of the a-closure for each state “p” further comprises computing each of the a-closures according to the following equation:

$$C[p] = \{(q, w) : q \in a[p], d[p, q] = w \in K - \{\bar{0}\}\}.$$

27. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 26, wherein the ~~step of~~ modifying of ~~outgoing transitions of each state “p”~~ $E[p]$ further comprises modifying the outgoing transitions of each state p “p” according to the following procedure:

(1) for each $p \in Q$

(2) do $E[p] \leftarrow \{e \in E[p] : i[e] \neq a\}$

(3) for each $(q, w) \in C[p]$

(4) do $E[p] \leftarrow E[p] \cup \{(p, a, w \otimes w', r) : (q, a, w', r) \in E[q], a \neq a\}$

(5) if $q \in F$

Application/Control Number: 09/910,093
Art Unit: 2654

Docket No.: 2001-0226

(6) then if $p \notin F$

(7) then $F \leftarrow F \cup \{p\}$

(8) $\rho[p] \leftarrow p[p] \oplus (\omega \oplus \rho[q])$.

28. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 27, wherein a state is a final state if some state “q” within a set of states reachable from “p” via a path labeled with an empty string is final and the a final weight is then:

$$\rho[p] = \bigoplus_{q \in e[p] \cap F} (d[p, q] \otimes \rho[q])$$

29. (Original) The method of claim 28, further comprising:

performing a depth-first search of the automaton A after removing the “a” strings.

30. (Currently Amended) A method of removing empty string terms from a transducer A having a set of states “p”, a set of states “q”, and a set of outgoing transitions from the set of states “p”, E[p], the method comprising:

inputting a plurality of electrical signals representing the transducer A;

and

generating a plurality of electrical signals representing a modified

transducer A by:

Application/Control Number: 09/910,093
Art Unit: 2654

Docket No.: 2001-0226

computing an ϵ -closure for each ~~state~~ of the states "p" of the transducer A;

modifying $E[p]$ by:

removing each transition labeled with an empty string; ~~and~~

adding to the $E[p]$ a non-empty-string transition, wherein each ~~state~~ of the states "q" is left with its weights pre-multiplied by an ϵ -distance from ~~state~~ a corresponding one of the states "p" to a respective one of the states ~~state~~ "q" in the transducer A to generate the modified transducer A.

31. (Original) The method of claim 30, further comprising:

removing inaccessible states using a depth-first search of the transducer A.

32. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 30, wherein adding to $E[p]$ non-empty-string transitions further comprises leaving the states q with weights $(d[p,q] \otimes p[q])$ to $E[p]$.

33. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 30, wherein the ~~step of~~ computing of the ϵ -closure for each ~~input-state~~ of the states "p" of an ~~input the~~ transducer A further comprises:

Application/Control Number: 09/910,093
 Art Unit: 2654

Docket No.: 2001-0226

removing all transitions not labeled with an empty string from transducer A to produce a transducer A_ϵ ;

decomposing A_ϵ into its strongly connected components; and

computing all-pairs shortest distances in each ~~component~~ of the strongly connected components visited in reverse topological order.

34. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 30, wherein the ~~step of~~ computing of the ϵ -closure for each ~~input state of an input~~ of the states "p" of the transducer A further comprises:

decomposing A_ϵ into its strongly connected components;

performing a single-source shortest-distance algorithm according to the following pseudo code:

```

for each  $p \in Q$ 
do  $d[p] \leftarrow r[p] \leftarrow \bar{O}$ 
 $d[s] \leftarrow r[s] \leftarrow \bar{I}$ 
 $S \leftarrow \{s\}$ 
while  $S \neq \emptyset$ 
do  $q \leftarrow \text{head}[S]$ 
DEQUEUE(S)
 $r \leftarrow r[q]$ 
 $r[q] \leftarrow \bar{O}$ 
for each  $e \in E[q]$ 

```

Application/Control Number: 09/910,093
Art Unit: 2654

Docket No.: 2001-0226

do if $d[n[e]] \neq d[n[e]] \oplus (r \otimes w[e])$

then $d[n[e]] \leftarrow d[n[e]] \oplus (r \otimes w[e])$

$r[n[e]] \leftarrow r[n[e]] \oplus (r \otimes w[e])$

if $n[e] \notin S$

then ENQUEUE ($S, n[e]$)

$d[s] \leftarrow \bar{1}$

35. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 30, wherein the ~~step of~~ computing of the ε -closure for each ~~state of the states~~ "p" further comprises computing each the ε -closure according to the following equation:

$$C[p] = \{(q, w) : q \in \varepsilon[p], d[p, q] = w \in K - \{\bar{0}\}\}.$$

36. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 35, wherein the ~~step of~~ modifying of the outgoing transitions of each ~~state of the states~~ "p" further comprises modifying the outgoing transitions of each ~~state p of the states~~ "p" according to the following procedure:

(1) for each $p \in Q$

(2) do $E[p] \leftarrow \{e \in E[p] : i[e] \neq \varepsilon\}$

(3) for each $(q, w) \in C[p]$

(4) do $E[p] \leftarrow E[p] \cup \{(p, a, w \otimes w', r) : (q, a, w', r) \in E[q], a \neq \varepsilon\}$

(5) if $q \in F$

Application/Control Number: 09/910,093
Art Unit: 2654

Docket No.: 2001-0226

(6) then if $p \notin F$

(7) then $F \leftarrow F \cup \{p\}$

(8) $\rho[p] \leftarrow p[p] \oplus (\omega \oplus \rho[q])$.

37. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 36, wherein a state is a final state if some state “q” within a set of states reachable from a corresponding state “p” via a path labeled with an empty string is final and the final weight is then:

$$\rho[p] = \bigoplus_{q \in e[p] \cap F} (d[p, q] \otimes \rho[q])$$

38. (Original) The method of claim 37, further comprising:

performing a depth-first search of the transducer A after removing the empty strings.